

# For the attention of the General Manager / Planning Manager / Planning Department

Application	DA-398/2023
Address	[REDACTED]
Description	Demolition of existing parish office and presbytery, construction of new centre-based childcare facility including parish centre and associated landscaping.
Name of commenter	[REDACTED]
Address of commenter	[REDACTED]
Email of commenter	[REDACTED]
Comment	

there is currently a house here, with the photo you are using. i believe you have the wrong address but i under stand we do need more childcare centres around the area but not on one of the busiest roads in the area I don't understand why people feel the need to make our busiest streets even more busier yet alone risk the innocent life's of children if someone was flying down the road like they normally do to make the green light and a kid runs out , as a mum to 2 young kids it just makes me feel uncomfortable and un easy. The roads are so busy even more now with the ongoing construction of the moorebank precinct. We don't need anymore traffic. Please consider the people that live in the streets in and around the application address.

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

I write to express my profound concerns and objections regarding the proposed development, DA 398/2023, which entails the establishment of an additional childcare centre in our community. Traffic Congestion Concern: If this proposal proceeds, we will undoubtedly witness a significant increase in traffic due to the potential arrival of 76 children, their parents, and staff. Our current traffic and parking conditions are already strained. This addition would exacerbate congestion and severely impact parking availability, disrupting the tranquillity and functionality of our community. Noise Disturbance Concern: Our residential area already contends with a consistent level of noise. The proposed childcare centre, potentially serving 76 or more children, will undeniably amplify noise pollution, greatly affecting our community's peaceful ambiance. The continuous noise, particularly during the centres' operation, may result in elevated stress levels among residents. Waste Management Concern: The introduction of a facility of this scale would significantly increase the overall waste generated within our community. With no clear plan outlined to manage this potential surge in waste, we are bound to encounter extensive issues in waste disposal and management. Pollution Concern: The combination of heightened traffic levels, increased noise, potential asbestos dust, and increased waste would inadvertently contribute to the overall degradation of our local environment. Our community, which we diligently maintain as clean and green, should not have to bear the burden of avoidable pollution. Therefore, with sincere regard for the current and future state of our beloved community, I strongly object to this proposition. I urge you to provide more comprehensive consideration to the potential negative impacts of DA 398/2023 before its approval. I have faith in your judgment and trust that you will act in the best interests of our community. Thank you for your prompt attention to these matters.

---

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

I am writing on behalf of myself and fellow community members who share mutual concerns regarding the proposed school-based childcare center under DA 398/2023. We believe that due attention and a fresh examination of the proposed project are essential before its realization.

Here are the pressing concerns we wish to bring into cognizance: 1. **\*\*Increased Traffic Congestion\*\***: Our area is already facing significant traffic issues during peak hours due to the existing school. An addition of another 76 children, along with their families and service providers, will only exacerbate this situation. This mounting traffic will not only disrupt the calm nature of our neighbourhood but will also become a safety issue. 2. **\*\*Redundancy of Service\*\***: Our locality already boasts of a fully functional childcare center situated across the road from the proposed site. This center, along with other such facilities in the vicinity, have adequate capacities to satisfy the local demand. Constructing an additional center would seem unnecessary and could lead to an over-saturated childcare service market. 3. **\*\*Safety Concerns\*\***: The proposed plan to build a new center on a busy main road heightens safety apprehensions for the children, their families, and pedestrians alike. The probability of increased human movement around the facility and the main road poses notable risks that need to be addressed. 4. **\*\*Noise Pollution\*\***: With the hustle and bustle of an additional childcare facility, the nuisance of increased noise is all but guaranteed. This addition would invariably disturb our tranquil and peaceful neighbourhood. 5. **\*\*Environmental Impact\*\***: Another childcare facility means a greater load on the environment. It implies more pollution from vehicles, construction, and increased waste production. A comprehensive environmental impact assessment seems to be currently lacking in the proposal. Given these significant concerns, we feel it is imperative to reconsider the proposal under DA 398/2023, taking the objections and perspectives of the community members into account. We trust that the best interests of our community will be the priority in any final decisions made about the project. We appreciate your understanding and consideration of our concerns.

---

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

I am writing as a local resident and voice of the community involved directly and indirectly by the proposed development plan under DA 398/2023. A plan which intends to add a school-based childcare centre, a prospect causing deep concern among various members of our community.

Outlined below are the pressing points of objection that we collectively hold: 1. **\*\*Intensified Traffic Congestion\*\***: It's broadly agreed upon that the addition of any significant number of users to our local street network will further strain it beyond its limits. An added 76 children and the accompanying influx of parents, caregivers, and service providers will result in untenable pressure on our current infrastructural capacity. 2. **\*\*The Redundance of Proposed Service\*\***:

Our locality has a well-serviced network of day care establishments, one of which lies just across the proposed site. This centre, along with others in the vicinity, possess adequate vacancies and are fully equipped to cater to the current and future demand. The addition of another facility might seem a surplus rather than a requirement. 3. **\*\*Heightened Safety Concerns\*\***: The proposed location on a bustling main road exacerbates safety issues for pedestrians, especially for young children and their families. The increased human activities and traffic interaction all point towards a significant risk parameter. 4. **\*\*Noise Generation\*\***: The establishment of another childcare facility implicatively means an increase in noise pollution. This ascend in noise levels is disquieting given the generally quiet and serene nature of our neighbourhood. 5. **\*\*Waste and Environmental Impact\*\***: The proposed centre would inevitably lead to an increase in waste generation, potentially straining our current waste management capacity. Additionally, environmental concerns such as pollution from construction activities, increased vehicular emissions, and the effect on local flora and fauna seem to have been overlooked in the planning process. Considering the gravity of these concerns and the potential adverse impact on our community, we strongly urge you to reconsider the provisions within development application DA 398/2023. We are confident that safeguarding the well-being of our community and its environment will be among your topmost considerations while deciding the future of this proposal. We appreciate your insights and look forward to your prompt attention to this matter.

---

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

As a representative and inhabitant of the local community affected directly and tangibly by the proposed developmental blueprint under DA 398/2023, I pen down these words. The projected plan for a school-affiliated childcare provision is a subject of profound unrest among a substantial collaborative of community members. Highlighted beneath are our collective contentious points of implacable dissent: 1. **\*\*Escalation of Traffic Bottleneck\*\***: It is a broadly acknowledged consensus that the incorporation of a significant populace into our local street grid will irrevocably stretch it past its breaking point. With an anticipated 76 additional youngsters, along with the ensuing inrush of parents, caretakers, and administrative personnel, our existing infrastructure faces unimaginable pressure. 2. **\*\*Supernumerary Nature of Proposed Service\*\***: Our precincts house a robust nexus of day-care services. In fact, one resides adjacent to the motivated site. This particular center, coupled with others in proximity, have ample spaces and are perfectly resourced to manage both current and foreseeable demands. The initiation of another facility could seem redundant rather than necessary. 3. **\*\*Surge in Safety Risks\*\***: The suggested location on a busy arterial road intensifies safety threats for foot travellers, specifically for the young attendees and their families. The amplified pedestrian movement and vehicular intermingling underscore a considerable risk factor. 4. **\*\*Noise Amplification\*\***: The inauguration of another childcare premise implicitly alludes to an uptick in noise pollution. Such a noise escalation is worrying for a neighbourhood known for its tranquillity and calm. 5. **\*\*Waste Output and Environmental Repercussions\*\***: The intended centre would unavoidably provoke a rise in waste creation, potentially overwhelming our current waste management system. Further, environmental issues like pollution from construction endeavours, increased vehicular exhaust, and the impact on neighbourhood flora loom large.

---

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

I am writing to voice my strong objections against the proposed development plan - DA 398/2023, a plan burdening our community with unnecessary challenges and distress through the addition of a school-based childcare centre. Please consider the following points of contention:

1. Escalating Traffic Congestion: Currently, our community is grappling with considerable traffic because of the existing school. Adding another 76 children would pressurize this already burdened system. The incessant addition of parents, service providers and caregivers would exasperate this congestion further. 2. Redundant Service Proposal: With a ready service of day-care establishments, especially one situated just across the proposed site, the new project seems extraneous. These already in-place centre's have adequate vacancies and are fully equipped to fulfil the needs of our community. The proposed childcare centre will be an unnecessary addition rather than a warranted requirement. 3. Heightened Safety Risks: The proposed centres' site on a busy main road significantly enhances safety concerns. The expected increment in pedestrian traffic, primarily young children and their families, coupled with intensified vehicular traffic presents a serious safety hazard. 4. Insufficient Car Spaces: The application highlights a stark lack of forethought regarding car parking. With the projection of a substantial surge in vehicular traffic, the deficiency of car spaces is a glaring concern that can't be dismissed. 5. Absence of a Social Impact Statement: The proposal, unfortunately, fails to address its social implications adequately or provides a comprehensive study of its likely impact on the immediate environment – a fundamental requirement for a project of this magnitude. 6. Public Awareness: The unconvincing nature of this proposal necessitates that the public be informed and made aware. I find the proposal sufficiently absurd that I find myself compelled to bring the matter to the attention of 'Current Affair,' for broader public scrutiny. The concerns raised above need thoughtful introspection and careful evaluation before any change is implemented that could sow the seeds of irreversible damage to our beloved community. The gravity of the matter calls for a revision in the DA 398/2023 proposal, and we hope and trust our concerns will receive the seriousness they warrant.

---

FROM: [REDACTED]

eMail: [REDACTED]

**Submission Details:**

**NOT** in support of the application.

**Comment:**

I am writing to express my strong objections to the proposed development application DA 398/2023 concerning the school-based childcare center. **Traffic:** The proposed location is already heavily congested with traffic, hence creating further on-street parking demand due to a new childcare center without considering feasible traffic solutions would exacerbate the current situation. **Surplus Childcares:** The community already has numerous existing childcare centers, many of which have open spots, making the need for yet another one redundant and insensitive to the current economic context. **Insufficient Car Spaces:** The present plan includes insufficient car spaces to accommodate the expected increase in vehicles (from the staff and parents). This insufficient provision of off-street parking will worsen current traffic problems and residential amenity. **Lack of Social Impact Statement:** There is no accompanying Social Impact Statement with this application. It is crucial to understand, mitigate, and manage any adverse social impacts on the wellbeing of our community before consent is given. **Asbestos Concerns:** Given the age of the existing facilities, there might be a risk of asbestos, which could pose severe health risks during the construction phase. Therefore, a comprehensive asbestos review and management plan should be a prerequisite for approval. **Environmental Sustainability and Waste:** The documents provided fail to address how the proposal aligns with principles of environmental sustainability. There is a lack of a comprehensive waste management plan, and the potential for increased waste disposal from the facility should be adequately planned to avoid a significant environmental impact. In light of these objections, I respectfully urge the council to reconsider this development application. It seems apparent from the above points that the proposal does not consider the welfare of the residents or the environment. Thus, it seems neither socially nor environmentally responsible to proceed until adequate solutions to these concerns are provided. Thank you for your attention to this significant community issue. I trust you will give these concerns due consideration.

---